Client docket: AWS 856.US Attorney docket: FSP0038

## **Claims**

What is claimed is:

- 1 1. A method comprising:
- comparing information of a request by client logic with a known pattern of
- 3 information for the client logic; and
- 4 when the information of the request matches the known pattern, causing at
- 5 least one of content and software to be communicated to the client
- 6 logic in response to the request.
- 1 2. The method of claim 1, further comprising:
- the known pattern selected according to an identification of the client logic
- provided with the request.
- 1 3. The method of claim 1, further comprising:
- an HTTP proxy comparing information of the request by the client logic
- with the known pattern of information for the client logic.
- 1 4. The method of claim 3, further comprising:
- the request comprising an HTTP GET request.
- 5. The method of claim 1, further comprising:
- 2 the known pattern of information comprising a value determined by
- 3 combining information of the request.
- 1 6. The method of claim 3, further comprising:

2	the HTTP proxy causing an HTTP server to communicate the at least one						
3	of content and software.						
1	7. The method of claim 1, further comprising:						
2	applying provision information to interpret at least a portion of the						
3	information of the request; and						
4	comparing information interpreted from the request to information						
5	identifying the client logic.						
1	8. The method of claim 7, further comprising:						
2	the information identifying the client logic comprised by the request.						
1	9. An apparatus comprising:						
2	a processor; and						
3	logic that, when applied to the processor, results in comparing information						
4	of a request by client logic with a known pattern of information for						
5	the client logic; and when the information of the request matches						
5	the known pattern, causing at least one of content and software to						
7	be communicated to the client logic in response to the request.						
l	10. The apparatus of claim 9, further comprising:						
2	logic that, when applied to the processor, results in selecting the known						
3	pattern according to an identification of the client logic provided with						
1	the request.						

11. The apparatus of claim 9, further comprising HTTP proxy logic.

1

Client docket: AWS 856.US Attorney docket: FSP0038

1	12. The apparatus of claim 9, further comprising:					
2	logic that, when applied to the processor, compares the request with a					
3	known pattern of HTTP request header information.					
1	13. The apparatus of claim 9, further comprising:					
2	logic that, when applied to the processor, results in combining information					
3	of the request to determine a value to represent the pattern of					
4	information in the request.					
1	14. The apparatus of claim 11, further comprising:					
2	logic that, when applied to the processor, results in causing an HTTP					
3	server to provide the at least one of content and software to the					
4	HTTP proxy; and in the HTTP proxy providing the at least one of					
5	content and software to the client logic.					
	:					
1	15. The apparatus of claim 9, further comprising:					
2	logic that, when applied to the processor, results in applying provision					
3	information to interpret at least a portion of the information of the					
4	request; and comparing interpreted information of the request to					
5	information of the request identifying the client logic.					
l	16. A method comprising:					
2	comparing information of a request by client logic with a known pattern of					
3	information for the client logic; and					
4	modifying the request information to either validate or invalidate the					
5	request according to whether the information of the request					
6	matches the known pattern.					

Client docket: AWS 856.US Attorney docket: FSP0038

1	17.	The method	d of claim	16.	, further	comprising
---	-----	------------	------------	-----	-----------	------------

- the known pattern selected according to an identification of the client logic
- 3 provided with the request.
- 1 18. The method of claim 16, further comprising:
- an HTTP proxy comparing information of the request by the client logic
- with the known pattern of information for the client logic.
- 1 19. The method of claim 18, further comprising:
- the request comprising an HTTP request.
- 1 20. The method of claim 16, further comprising:
- the known pattern of information comprising a value determined by
- 3 combining units of information of the request.
- 1 21. The method of claim 18, further comprising:
- 2 the HTTP proxy causing an HTTP server to communicate the at least one
- 3 of content and software in response to the request.
- 1 22. The method of claim 16, further comprising:
- 2 applying provision information to interpret at least a portion of the
- 3 information of the request; and
- 4 comparing information interpreted from the request to information
- 5 identifying the client logic.
- 1 23. The method of claim 22, further comprising:
- the information identifying the client logic comprised by the request.